

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,498		01/05/2001	Michael Yip	2717P030	5235
8791	7590	10/29/2002			
BLAKELY	Y SOKO	LOFF TAYLOR & OULEVARD, SEVE	EXAMINER		
LOS ANGE	ELES, CA	90025	ENTH FLOOR	WON, YOUNG N	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2155	13
				DATE MAILED: 10/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/755,498	YIP, MICHAEL					
nancery neuen	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Young N Won	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1)To one of ordinary skill in the art, it is well known that a particular type of network can be connected to another type of network therfore, by merely stating in the claim that MAN is connected to the super-VLAN does not patentable distinguish the claimed invention over prior art. 2)The quote from Crinion "tag replacement is desired" clearly teaches replacing one tag for another, so clearly Crinion teaches this limitation. It is important to note that in order for a claimed subject matter to be patentable over prior art, ther needs to be clear, concise, and definite distinction over prior art, such as in how the modified bridge forwarding rule performs what is claimed.

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400